

DEFINITIVE RECONSTRUCTION OF COMPLEX MAXILLOFACIAL TRAUMA WITH WIDE-SPREAD SOFT-TISSUE DEFECTS: A CASE SERIES

Nguyen Hong Loi^{1*}

DOI: 10.38103/jcmhch.2021.69.16

ABSTRACT

The management of soft tissue injury after complex facial trauma poses unique challenges to the plastic surgeon, given the specialized nature of facial tissue and the aesthetic importance of the face. We report 8 cases with wide-spread soft-tissue defects due to complex facial trauma who presented at Odonto-stomatology center - Hue central hospital during the year 2018. Of these cases were surgically managed by fixation and facial reconstruction at Odonto-stomatology center - Hue central hospital; and they were very well recovery in function and aesthetics. The general principles of trauma management and wound care are applied in all cases. The management of severe injuries to the face is discussed in relation to the location and the mechanism of injury.

Keywords: *Complex maxillofacial trauma, wide-spread soft-tissue defects, reconstruction*

I. INTRODUCTION

Maxillofacial injuries are frequent cause of presentations in an emergency department. Varying from simple, common nasal fractures to gross comminution of the face, management of such injuries can be extremely challenging. The complex maxillofacial trauma with wide-spread soft-tissue defects has the potential to cause disfigurement and loss of function; for example, blindness or difficulty moving the jaw can result [1-3]. Furthermore, the complex maxillofacial trauma usually connects closely to traumatic brain injury, thoracic trauma and nearby organs injury [2,4,5].

The management of maxillofacial trauma

involves organs and regions managed by various well-established health care disciplines and is therefore multidisciplinary such as emergency, neurology, gastroenterology, thoracic surgery, anaesthesiology, orthopedic, ophthalmology, otolaryngology (E.N.T.), haematology, etc [1].

Therefore, maxillofacial surgical procedures to reconstruct the wide-spread soft-tissue defects oftentimes a huge challenge for oral and maxillofacial surgeons. We number of clinical cases involving complex maxillofacial trauma and wide-spread soft-tissue defect in Odonto-stomatology center - Hue Central Hospital.

¹ Odonto-Stomatology Center, Hue Central Hospital.

- **Received:** 03/04/2021; **Revised:** 07/05/2021;

- **Accepted:** 22/05/2021

- **Corresponding author:** Nguyen Hong Loi

- **Email:** drloivietnam@yahoo.com; **Phone:** 0913494549

II. CASESERIES

A total 8 patients with complex maxillofacial trauma who presented at Odonto-stomatology center - Hue central hospital during the year 2018. There were 6 males and 2 females. Age range 19-38 years. Of these patients, 7 were traffic accidents

victims, 1 was patient. All cases had maxillofacial fractures and wide-spread soft-tissue defects. The time to hospital was within 4 hours after accident occurring. The patient characteristics is showed in **(Table 1)**.

Table 1: Patient characteristics

Patient No	Age	Sex	Type of accident	Total of hospitalization	Characteristics of trauma
1	30	Male	traffic accident	3 hours	Maxillary fracture (R), Zygomatic arch fracture (R), Pneumothorax (R) and Opened Femur fracture (R)
2	23	Female	traffic accident	2 hours	Zygomatic arch fracture (L), Partial loss of an aesthetic subunit of the auricle (L) and Opened Forearm Fractures (L)
3	19	Male	work-related accident	3 hours	Maxillary fracture (L, R), Palatal fracture and Zygomatic arch fracture (L)
4	32	Male	traffic accident	4 hours	Maxillary fracture (L, R), Palatal fracture and Zygomatic arch fracture (R)
5	35	Male	traffic accident	1 hours	Maxillary fracture (L), zygomatic arch fracture (L), opened femur fracture, closed rib fractures and pleural effusion (L)
6	35	Male	traffic accident	4 hours	Maxillary fracture (L), Zygomatic arch fracture (L); Mandibular fractures (symphysis and angle areas) (L), Opened Femur fracture, Closed Rib fractures and pleural effusion (L)
7	38	Female	traffic accident	3 hours	Maxillary fracture (L), Zygomatic arch fracture (L); Mandibular fractures (symphysis and angle areas) (L), Lung parenchymal contusion, Pneumothorax (L), Sternal fracture, Clavicle fracture, Spinous Process Fractures of C7, D1, D2, D3
8	45	Male	traffic accident	3 hours	Maxillary fracture (L), Zygomatic arch fracture (L); Mandibular fractures (symphysis and angle areas) (L)

Hue Central Hospital

All the patient were treated by following these principles management of maxillofacial trauma [6]

Principles of initial assessment in facial trauma

Initial Assessment: ABCs

For initial assessment, life-threatening injuries must be identified and addressed promptly. This process includes airway maintenance along with cervical spine control, breathing and circulation with bleeding control.

Airway maintenance with cervical spine control

The priority for the initial assessment of the trauma patient is to establish and maintain the airway. In trauma patients, obstruction of the upper airway can be caused by: bleeding in the oral and facial areas; foreign objects from the outside; gastrointestinal vomiting; asphyxiation due to soft tissues: these are common in patients with loss of consciousness, which leads to the tongue falling back and edema of the lower larynx.

Breathing

After the airway is established, the respiratory condition must be assessed. If the patient is breathing spontaneously, additional assistance is required with an oxygen mask. Very slow or rapid breathing suggests poor ventilation, so the patient's condition should be assessed regularly. If ventilation does not improve, safe airway establishment must be performed by intubation.

Circulation with bleeding control

After controlling the airway and respiratory system, the patient's cardiovascular system must be evaluated and recovered quickly. Shock in trauma is caused by a decrease in circulating volume.

Evaluation of traumatic brain injury: Severity is rated based on Glasgow scale.

First aid for bleeding in Oral and Maxillofacial Trauma

Principles: Most facial bleeding is controlled with direct pressure or swabbing. In case of soft tissue bleeding, hemorrhage needs to stop temporarily. On waiting, the doctor can find the main source of bleeding for further treatment. For

hemorrhage from a fracture, immobilization is the most important thing to do.

To restore optimal function and aesthetics of the wound, it should be treated following the principles as soon as possible.

General principles in the treatment of soft oral and maxillofacial wounds

- Each step in the treatment of soft oral and maxillofacial wounds needs to be done carefully and meticulously, complying with the general principles of management such as: wound cleaning, incision (if necessary) and closure. Foreign objects that are not removed completely will leave stains or infections and bad scars are inevitable consequences.

- Clean wound can be sutured after 72 hours. In case of an infected wound such as redness or pus, the wound should be sewn in two phases.

- The treatment of soft oral and maxillofacial wounds affects the intervention of fractures in the future, suturing should be performed after the treatment of fractured bones.

- Maximum saving of skin and minimizing the act of incision

- Do not deform important structures: lips, nose, eyelids. The reconstructive plastic surgery techniques sometimes create more scars, but aesthetically, a beautiful scar is more acceptable than a deformation of the lips and nose.

- Follow-ups and taking care of the wound carefully

- Using a combination of antibiotics and anti-inflammatory medications.

All cases were surgically managed by fixation and facial reconstruction at Odonto-stomatology center - Hue central hospital; and they were very well recovery in function and aesthetics according to aesthetic and functional evaluation system [7] (as shown in Table 2). Eye-covered images can show aesthetic outcomes as well as functional results and also obtained the consent of the patients (**Figure 1-8**).

Definitive reconstruction of complex Maxillofacial trauma with wide-spread...



Figure 1: Case 1 (male, 30 years old)



Figure 2: Case 2 (female, 23 years old)



Figure 3: Case 3 (male, 19 years old)



Figure 4: Case 4 (male, 32 years old)



Figure 5: Case5 (male, 35 years old)



Figure 6: Case6 (male, 35 years old)



Figure 7: Case7 (female, 38 years old)



Figure 8: Case8 (male, 45 years old)

Table 2: Aesthetic outcomes and functional results

Patient	Length surgery (hours)	Complication	Aesthetic score	Functional score
1	2 h	none	0	0
2	1.5 h	none	0	0
3	2 h	none	1 (visible scar)	1
4	2 h	none	1 (visible scar)	1 (facial numbness)
5	2,5 h	none	2 (bad scar, facial asymmetric)	1 (facial numbness)
6	2,5 h	none	0	0
7	2 h	none	1 (visible scar)	1 (facial numbness)
8	1.5 h	none	0	1 (facial numbness)

III. Discussion

Maxillofacial trauma has become one of the major health problems worldwide, and injury patterns vary in different societies [8,9]. The incidence varies according to geographical area and socioeconomic status of the population investigated [10]. This group of patient is a huge burden and workload for maxillofacial surgeons [11], due to many vital structures and significant aesthetic consideration of the facial area.

Severe facial trauma can cause significant morbidity and disfiguration and poses a unique challenge to the plastic surgeon, given the specialized nature of facial tissues and importance of the face for the patient's self-esteem and personal identity. After initial stabilization and life-saving measures, focus turns to a reconstructive process that aims to provide acceptable functional and aesthetic outcomes for the patient [12].

Different etiologies of maxillofacial injuries had been reported in the literature, which include motor vehicle accident (MVA), assault, domestic injury, sport injuries, and others. Due to the differences in social, culture, environmental, and risk factors, both the incidence and the etiology of the trauma vary from one country to another.

Assault and MVA are the two main causes of maxillofacial injury worldwide [13]. Injuries at maxillofacial regions stemming from MVA continue to be the leading cause in many countries [9,14]. It is known that the incidence of MVA is even higher in developing countries, which ranged from 55.2 to 91% as reported in the literature [15-20]. MVA is the main etiology and the second cause of mortality, particularly in Southeast Asia. Vietnam is not spared from the maxillofacial trauma caused by MVA, with the majority involved motorcyclists, as motorcycles constitute half of all vehicles and contributed to more than 70% of casualties in Vietnam.

The key findings of this study were males constituted the higher number in maxillofacial trauma cases compared with females; highest incidence of maxillofacial trauma cases came from the age group of 19 to 38 years; the main cause of maxillofacial trauma incidence in Vietnam was MVA; motorcyclists formed the highest number of the MVA victims; most of the maxillofacial injury patients presented with soft-tissue injuries, followed by mandibular fracture.

From the demographic data of maxillofacial trauma in the study of Lee et al [21], it was shown that maxillofacial fractures were significantly more

Hue Central Hospital

prevalent in men (538 males, 85.4%) compared with women (92 females, 14.6%). Male-to-female ratio was 5.8:1. The ratio of our study was lower than 13:1 as described by Moafian et al in a study performed in Iran [22]. These findings demonstrate a lack of established pattern across cultures [9]. The cultural and socioeconomic values of population studied might influence the rates of facial fractures in women [13]. For accidents, men tend to have a higher-risk job as compared with women. Occupation involving physical strain or the use of tools and machine tend to be more dangerous [10].

The highest incidence of maxillofacial trauma was from patients within the age group of 19 to 38 years. Both gender and age group predilections were similar to the data reported in different researches done in other parts of the world [9,10,13-15,20]. It has been suggested that males, aged between 21 and 30 years, were more susceptible to maxillofacial trauma due to their high rate of commuting [13].

The main cause of maxillofacial trauma in our study was MVA followed by work-related accident. The result was comparable to other studies, reporting that developing countries have higher incidence of MVA, ranging from 55.2 to 91% [15-20]. Vietnam shares similar profiles of crash patterns with other developing nations in the world in the past decade. The tremendous increase of motorized vehicles on roads has invariably led to a significant rise in the number of traffic accidents. However, the etiology of maxillofacial trauma has changed drastically in developed countries in the past decade; personal assault has overtaken MVA as the main cause [23]. These findings have been reported in countries such as Denmark, Sweden, United Kingdom, France, Finland, and New Zealand [24-27].

All of our patients had soft-tissue injury with wide-spread defects. Soft-tissue injuries included laceration wound, abrasion or contusion on the facial region. Data of the exact anatomical location and severity of the soft-tissue injuries were not collected

in this study. Damaged parotid glands were normally referred to and managed by otorhinolaryngology (ORL) team. The most commonly fractured site at the face was the mandible followed by maxilla and zygoma. Mandible's prominence made it a favorable site for fracture. Parasymphysis was the most frequent site for mandibular fracture followed by condyle and body of mandible. Parasymphysis fracture is a fracture that occurs between the mental foramen and the distal aspect of the mandibular lateral incisors. The anatomical location of parasymphysis around the curvature of the mandible makes it a prominent site for fracture. The thin condylar neck tends to fracture easily during an impact and this mechanism prevents it from being pushed into the middle cranial fossa. Several studies reported similar findings with our study, which mentioned that the most frequent site of maxillofacial fracture was mandible [13,20,28], and the most frequent mandibular fracture sites were symphysis-parasymphysis and condylar region for road-traffic accident cases.

There are many methods available to import tissue to the head and neck region; the management plan is individualized to the case at hand. Local tissue flaps have limited amounts of tissue and a modest vascular supply, and thus are often saved for the final stages of reconstruction for minor contouring. Pedicled myocutaneous flaps offer large amounts of tissue with reliable vascularity for soft tissue coverage, but are often bulky and are limited by the length of the vascular pedicle. Free tissue transfer allows the early reconstruction of damaged bones and provides soft tissue coverage soon after injury [29]. Additional reconstructive techniques and tools include implants, tissue expanders, and epidermal skin grafting, although these are not frequently used in the acute setting [12].

At the initial encounter, after stabilization and the management of life-threatening injuries, the initial reconstruction in the operating room serves

to debride the wound, establish proper occlusion, and close the wound in the best way possible. The timing of definitive reconstruction is currently a topic of debate. Some authors suggest that, when possible, patients requiring free-tissue transfer should have their definitive treatment performed immediately (within 24-48 hours) [30]. Immediate definitive reconstruction leads to fewer revisionary procedures and improved results, whereas delayed reconstruction has an increased incidence of wound contracture [31-33]. Others suggest providing conservative coverage in the acute setting, then performing more lengthy definitive reconstructions after the patient has been stabilized [29,34].

Of all cases, 6 of the patients chose to be treated with open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF), 1 cases by closed reduction, and 1 cases by either conservative management or no treatment. ORIF was mainly achieved via titanium osteosynthesis plates. All our ORIF cases were treated with load sharing mini plates with exception to comminuted mandibular fractures where load-bearing plates were used. Closed reduction was mainly achieved via intermaxillary fixation either using arch bar or eyelet wiring. With regard to treatment methods, some research had reported that approximately 98% of all patients with mandibular and middle third facial fractures were treated by closed reduction, followed

by ORIF and conservative management (active jaw exercises after short period of immobilization for condylar fractures) [20]. ORIF may lead to early recovery, segment stability, more rapid return of function, and also improvement of patient's comfort [13]. Indications for ORIF of zygomatic complex fractures include diplopia, enophthalmus, poor aesthetic, and limited mouth opening. We treat condylar fracture with closed reduction with exception for cases indicated for open reduction such as fractured laterally, displacement of condyle into middle cranial fossa, and the presence of foreign body. Nevertheless, patients refused ORIF treatment because of the healthcare cost. Some of the simple fractures were managed conservatively.

IV. CONCLUSION

Complex maxillofacial injuries can be a devastating ordeal for patients and families. The vast improvement in prehospital care has resulted in typically more-severe maxillofacial injuries being managed by the plastic surgeon. The management of facial fractures and wide-spread soft-tissue defects has evolved so that a multidisciplinary team is best equipped to deal with ongoing issues.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests

REFERENCES

1. Das D, Salazar L, Zaurova M. Maxillofacial trauma: managing potentially dangerous and disfiguring complex injuries [digest]. *Emerg Med Pract* 2017;19:S1-s2
2. Morotti A, Frascisco MF. Emergency management of major bleeding in a case of maxillofacial trauma and anticoagulation: utility of prothrombin complex concentrates in the shock room. *Hematol Rep* 2015;7:5656
3. Snell BJ, Roberts RM, Anderson P, David DJ. Neuropsychologic outcomes in patients treated for complex maxillofacial trauma. *J Craniofac Surg* 2014;25:1164-7
4. Goedecke M, Thiem DGE, Schneider D, Frerich B, Kämmerer PW. Through the ages- Aetiological changes in maxillofacial trauma. *Dent Traumatol* 2019;35:115-120
5. Kamath RA, Bharani S, Hammannavar R, Ingle SP, Shah AG. Maxillofacial trauma in central karnataka, India: an outcome of 95 cases in a

Hue Central Hospital

- regional trauma care centre. *Cranio-maxillofac Trauma Reconstr* 2012;5:197-204
6. Frost RFHDBMPD, *Oral and Maxillofacial Trauma*. 4th ed. 2012: Saunders.
 7. Gutiérrez-Santamaría J, Masià-Gridilla J, Pamias J, Giralt J, Bescos C. Fat grafting is a feasible technique for the sequelae of head and neck cancer treatment. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery* 2016;45
 8. Motamedi MH, Dadgar E, Ebrahimi A, Shirani G, Haghighat A, Jamalpour MR. Pattern of maxillofacial fractures: a 5-year analysis of 8,818 patients. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2014;77:630-4
 9. Nobrega LM, Cavalcante GM, Lima MM, Madruga RC, Ramos-Jorge ML, d'Avila S. Prevalence of facial trauma and associated factors in victims of road traffic accidents. *Am J Emerg Med* 2014;32:1382-6
 10. Hächl O, Tuli T, Schwabegger A, Gassner R. Maxillofacial trauma due to work-related accidents. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002;31:90-3
 11. Ugboko VI, Odusanya SA, Fagade OO. Maxillofacial fractures in a semi-urban Nigerian teaching hospital. A review of 442 cases. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1998;27:286-9
 12. Jaiswal R, Pu LL. Reconstruction after complex facial trauma: achieving optimal outcome through multiple contemporary surgeries. *Ann Plast Surg* 2013;70:406-9
 13. Guruprasad Y, Hemavathy O, Giraddi G, Shetty JN. An assessment of etiological spectrum and injury characteristics among maxillofacial trauma patients of Government dental college and Research Institute, Bangalore. *J Nat Sci Biol Med* 2014;5:47-51
 14. Elhammali N, Bremerich A, Rustemeyer J. Demographical and clinical aspects of sports-related maxillofacial and skull base fractures in hospitalized patients. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;39:857-62
 15. Bataineh AB. Etiology and incidence of maxillofacial fractures in the north of Jordan. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1998;86:31-5
 16. Hammond KL, Ferguson JW, Edwards JL. Fractures of the facial bones in the Otago region 1979-1985. *N Z Dent J* 1991;87:5-9
 17. Kadkhodaie MH. Three-year review of facial fractures at a teaching hospital in northern Iran. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2006;44:229-31
 18. Montovani JC, de Campos LM, Gomes MA, de Moraes VR, Ferreira FD, Nogueira EA. Etiology and incidence facial fractures in children and adults. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol* 2006;72:235-41
 19. Motamedi MH. An assessment of maxillofacial fractures: a 5-year study of 237 patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;61:61-4
 20. Ugboko V, Udoeye C, Ndukwe K, Amole A, Aregbesola S. Zygomatic complex fractures in a suburban Nigerian population. *Dent Traumatol* 2005;21:70-5
 21. Lee CW, Foo QC, Wong LV, Leung YY. An Overview of Maxillofacial Trauma in Oral and Maxillofacial Tertiary Trauma Centre, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah. *Cranio-maxillofac Trauma Reconstr* 2017;10:16-21
 22. Moafian G, Aghabeigi MR, Heydari ST, Hoseinzadeh A, Lankarani KB, Sarikhani Y. An epidemiologic survey of road traffic accidents in Iran: analysis of driver-related factors. *Chin J Traumatol* 2013;16:140-4
 23. Hussaini HM, Rahman NA, Rahman RA, Nor GM, Ai Idrus SM, Ramli R. Maxillofacial trauma with emphasis on soft-tissue injuries in Malaysia. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;36:797-801
 24. Adams CD, Januszkiewicz JS, Judson J. Changing patterns of severe craniomaxillofacial trauma in Auckland over eight years. *Aust N Z J Surg* 2000;70:401-4
 25. Afzelius LE, Rosén C. Facial fractures. A review of 368 cases. *Int J Oral Surg* 1980;9:25-32

Definitive reconstruction of complex Maxillofacial trauma with wide-spread...

26. Sinclair JH. The changing pattern of maxillofacial injuries. *Ann R Australas Coll Dent Surg* 1979;6:43-9
27. van Beek GJ, Merckx CA. Changes in the pattern of fractures of the maxillofacial skeleton. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;28:424-8
28. Thorén H, Numminen L, Snäll J, Kormi E, Lindqvist C, Iizuka T, et al. Occurrence and types of dental injuries among patients with maxillofacial fractures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;39:774-8
29. Futran ND, Farwell DG, Smith RB, Johnson PE, Funk GF. Definitive management of severe facial trauma utilizing free tissue transfer. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2005;132:75-85
30. Kretlow JD, McKnight AJ, Izaddoost SA. Facial soft tissue trauma. *Semin Plast Surg* 2010;24:348-56
31. Gruss JS, Antonyshyn O, Phillips JH. Early definitive bone and soft-tissue reconstruction of major gunshot wounds of the face. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1991;87:436-50
32. Váscónez HC, Shockley ME, Luce EA. High-energy gunshot wounds to the face. *Ann Plast Surg* 1996;36:18-25
33. Vayvada H, Menderes A, Yilmaz M, Mola F, Kzlkaya A, Atabey A. Management of close-range, high-energy shotgun and rifle wounds to the face. *J Craniofac Surg* 2005;16:794-804
34. Kaufman Y, Cole P, Hollier L. Contemporary issues in facial gunshot wound management. *J Craniofac Surg* 2008;19:421-7